

Firm Name	Invenergy	Invenergy	Invenergy	Invenergy	Invenergy	Origis	Origis	Origis	Florida Renewable Partners	Florida Renewable Partners	Florida Renewable Partners	Florida Renewable Partners	Florida Renewable Partners	Community Energy Solar	Community Energy Solar
Project Site Name	Tumbledown Solar Energy Center	Whistling Duck	Whistling Duck	Whistling Duck	50 MW Solar Only	50 MW Solar Only	50 MW	50 MW	50 MW	Gainesville Solar Site 31	Gainesville Solar Site 32				
Other Descriptor															
Curtailment	GRU-specified base bid	GRU-specified base bid				assume GRU-spec fied base bid (unspecified)	assume GRU-specified base bid (unspecified)	assume GRU-specified base bid (unspecified)	Base bid, ignoring economic curtailments	Base bid, ignoring economic curtailments	5%	5%	5%	Base bid, ignoring economic curtailments	5%
GRU Additional Capital Expenditure Required (\$)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Price added to \$/MWh price due to GRU Capital Expenditures (\$/MWh)	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00	50.00
Submitted Price (\$/MWh)															
Meet Bid Requirements? (Y/N)	Y	Y	Y	Y	N	N	Y	N	Y	N	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Comments on Excluding from Evaluation						10-year term & beyond specified term	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.	No comp. ate cont. cl.
Evaluated Price (\$/MWh)															
Price Points Awarded															

Other Comments															
Consensus Vendor Points	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	27	27	27	29	29	29	29	29	17.5	17.5
Total Points	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	22.5	27	27	27	29	29	29	29	29	17.5	17.5

## ITN Scoring Allocation

**Evaluator Name: Evaluation Team Consensus**

**Firm Name: Origis**

**Facility Name: Whistling Duck (battery size varies)**

Total Available Points: 100

	<u>Available Points</u>
<b>Pricing</b>	<b><u>60</u></b>
Per Attachment 5.	60
<b>Experience and Ability</b>	<b><u>16</u></b>
<b>A. Company Profile and Experience</b>	
The minimum requirement for this solicitation is three projects of at least 30 MW AC in size. List your three most recent projects of that meet this threshold and give a description of each project (add did it meet i. schedule, performance expectations, etc.)	3
ii. List how many projects greater than 30 MW AC the company has completed, and its role in each project.	2
iii. List how many projects the firm has completed in Florida.	2
iv. Describe the firm's experience with limiting ramp rates from solar arrays. If applicable, provide a brief description of the firm's last three projects involving energy storage and the firm's role in the project.	2
<b>B. Relationship of any Joint Ventures Proposed for this Project</b>	
Provide a detailed description of any joint ventures or partnerships involved with the proposed project.	
i. Discuss the firm's intent to own the project for the first five years of operation.	0
<b>C. Project Financing Experience</b>	

i.	Provide a background on the firm's creditworthiness and ability to financially execute this project. Explain how the firm's past five projects (if applicable) have been financed and how the proposed project will be financed.	3
<b>D. Key Personnel Qualifications</b>	Provide the qualifications of the key personnel that will be involved with the proposed project, including engineering, construction, operations and maintenance, environmental, and/or ownership qualifications, as applicable.	1
<b>E. Operations and Maintenance Experience</b>	i. Provide details on the firm's experience with operating and maintaining solar facilities.	2
<b>F. Safety Record</b>	Describe the firm's health and safety history for the past five years. Provide the firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR).	1

**A. Project Site**

Provide associated USGS (or equivalent) coordinates delineating the scope of the physical project including the solar field array, project switchyard, right-of-way/corridor to interconnection point, maintenance area, and construction/marshaling area (either temporary or permanent). Note the scoring criteria for Section 16 - Evaluation Process.

- |      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| i.   | Identify which areas the SELLER presently controls (and method of control) along with additional areas that are required for successful completion of the project.   | 10 |
| ii.  | Identify any hazardous areas that are part of or adjacent to the Project lands as well as identification of environmentally sensitive lands (wetlands, etc.) that are adjacent or included in the project. |    |
| iii. |  |    |
| iv.  | Identify the point of interconnection, the delivery point, and the metering point.   |    |
| v.   | Delineate the boundary between BUYER and SELLER.   | 2  |

**B. System Components and Operation**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Provide conceptual drawing for the grid interconnection showing key equipment and design details to provide an overview of the grid connection, including the connection point and voltage.                     | 0 |
| Explain in detail how the system will meet the minimum threshold of 0.06 MW/minute of AC capacity ramp rate (up and down). Provide the system's maximum ramp rate (up and down).                                | 5 |
| If the project contains energy storage, give details on the type of storage that will be included, the quantity, and how the control system will operate in tandem with the PV array, batteries, and inverters. | 1 |

**C. Project Execution Plan**

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Provide a description of the project execution plan detailing the process to be employed for this project showing key milestones (land acquisition, design, permitting, procurement, construction and commissioning) to ensure a successful and timely entry into commercial operation. The description should include both a narrative and Gantt format schedule. |   |   |
| i.   | narrative and Gantt format schedule.                                    |   |
| ii.  | Explain the project's plan for connection to GRU's transmission system. | 2 |

**D. Operations Plan**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Discuss the project's proposed vegetation management and maintenance plan. Describe expected planned outages and their durations. | 1 |
| Explain how the system's output will be forecasted and provided to GRU.   |   |
| ii.   |   |
|   | 3 |

**E. Attachment C - Project Information Form**

- |    |                                   |   |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| i. | Fill out and provide Attachment 4 | 0 |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|

**F. Exclusions and Exceptions**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| Fully explain all exclusions and exceptions the proposed project has to the specifications. Note that exclusions and exceptions may negatively impact the project's rating to GRU. |  |
| i.   |  |



<u>Scoring Notes</u>	<u>Notes on Proposal</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>= 100 - points in other sections</b>		
If a project requires a GRU capital expenditure, \$0.60/MWh is added to the bid price per \$1,000,000 of capital expenditure required. Lowest viable (i.e. not disqualified) offer receives 60 points. All other proposals receive a pro rata share of 60 points. For example, if the lowest bid is \$26/MWh and a competing offer is \$28.50/MWh, the competing offer receives $\{(\$26/\text{MWh})/(\$28.50/\text{MWh})\} * 60 \text{ points} = 54.7 \text{ points}$ . Points are rounded to the nearest tenth.	See Pricing Sheet	See Pricing Sheet
<b>Section Subtotal</b>		
One point for each project that is greater than or equal to 30 MW AC, max 3 points.		3
If firm has done 0-4 projects, 0 points; 5-8 projects, 1 point; 9 or more, two points.		1
One point for each project in Florida, max 2 points.		2
zero points for one project, one point for two projects, two points for three projects		0
		0

If financing plan and financing history are reasonable, firm receives full points. If the firm's financing plan and history leave doubt as to their ability to finance the project, fewer points may be given.		3
If key personnel all have experience with at least one project that is similar to their proposal, firm receives full points. Less points may be given if otherwise.		1
If bidder has experience with operating four or more projects, two points; if bidder has experience operating 1-3 projects, one point.		2
EMR less than 0.75, two points. 0.75 to 1, one point. Greater than one or none provided, zero points.		1

**Section Subtotal**

5 points tier I, 3 points tier II, 1 point tier III. 3 points for system split to two locations at least five miles apart; 4 points for three locations; five points for four locations. If more than one project site is included, connection tiers will be weighted by MW connected to each tier. (e.g. 20 MW @ Tier I and 25 MW @ tier II yields $(20/45)*5 + (25/45)*3 = 3.9$ points.		3
If all of this information is provided, full points awarded. Fewer points may be awarded if all information is not provided.		0.7
0 1 Points for minimum specification, 3 points for 0.05/MW/min, 5 points for 0.04/MW/min or lower		0 5
description instills confidence		1
If firm has reasonable plan for executing project, full points. If the plan is lacking in detail or completeness, fewer points may be awarded.		2
One point awarded for complete plan. If the plan is lacking in detail, fewer points may be awarded.		0.8
If forecast meets requirements and the method of forecasting is seems robust and reliable (e.g. proven and in use today at other systems), receive full points. If the description does not meet these requirements, fewer points may be awarded.		1.5
information only		0
Evaluation team will discuss and come to consensus on the point value of the exceptions the vendor takes to the specifications		0

**Total Points Awarded    27.00**

## ITN Scoring Allocation

**Evaluator Name: Evaluation Team Consensus**

**Firm Name: Invenergy**

**Facility Name: Tumbledown**

Total Available Points: 100

	<u>Available Points</u>
<b>Pricing</b>	<b><u>60</u></b>
Per Attachment 5.	60
<b>Experience and Ability</b>	<b><u>16</u></b>
<b>A. Company Profile and Experience</b>	
The minimum requirement for this solicitation is three projects of at least 30 MW AC in size. List your three most recent projects of that meet this threshold and give a description of each project (add did it meet i. schedule, performance expectations, etc.)	3
ii. List how many projects greater than 30 MW AC the company has completed, and its role in each project.	2
iii. List how many projects the firm has completed in Florida.	2
iv. Describe the firm's experience with limiting ramp rates from solar arrays. If applicable, provide a brief description of the firm's last three projects involving energy storage and the firm's role in the project.	2
<b>B. Relationship of any Joint Ventures Proposed for this Project</b>	
Provide a detailed description of any joint ventures or partnerships involved with the proposed project.	
i. Discuss the firm's intent to own the project for the first five years of operation.	0
<b>C. Project Financing Experience</b>	

i.	Provide a background on the firm's creditworthiness and ability to financially execute this project. Explain how the firm's past five projects (if applicable) have been financed and how the proposed project will be financed.	3
<b>D. Key Personnel Qualifications</b>	Provide the qualifications of the key personnel that will be involved with the proposed project, including engineering, construction, operations and maintenance, environmental, and/or ownership qualifications, as applicable.	1
<b>E. Operations and Maintenance Experience</b>	i. Provide details on the firm's experience with operating and maintaining solar facilities.	2
<b>F. Safety Record</b>	Describe the firm's health and safety history for the past five years. Provide the firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR).	1

**A. Project Site**

Provide associated USGS (or equivalent) coordinates delineating the scope of the physical project including the solar field array, project switchyard, right-of-way/corridor to interconnection point, maintenance area, and construction/marshaling area (either temporary or permanent). Note the scoring criteria for Section 16 - Evaluation Process.

- |      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| i.   | Identify which areas the SELLER presently controls (and method of control) along with additional areas that are required for successful completion of the project.   | 10 |
| ii.  | Identify any hazardous areas that are part of or adjacent to the Project lands as well as identification of environmentally sensitive lands (wetlands, etc.) that are adjacent or included in the project. |    |
| iii. |  |    |
| iv.  | Identify the point of interconnection, the delivery point, and the metering point.   |    |
| v.   | Delineate the boundary between BUYER and SELLER.   | 2  |

**B. System Components and Operation**

Provide conceptual drawing for the grid interconnection showing key equipment and design details to provide

- |      |   |   |
|------|---|---|
| i.   | an overview of the grid connection, including the connection point and voltage.   | 0 |
| ii.  | Explain in detail how the system will meet the minimum threshold of 0.06 MW/minute of AC capacity ramp rate (up and down). Provide the system's maximum ramp rate (up and down).                                | 5 |
| iii. |   |   |
| iv.  | If the project contains energy storage, give details on the type of storage that will be included, the quantity, and how the control system will operate in tandem with the PV array, batteries, and inverters. | 1 |

**C. Project Execution Plan**

Provide a description of the project execution plan detailing the process to be employed for this project showing key milestones (land acquisition, design, permitting, procurement, construction and commissioning) to ensure a successful and timely entry into commercial operation. The description should include both a

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| i.  | narrative and Gantt format schedule.                                    |   |
| ii. | Explain the project's plan for connection to GRU's transmission system. | 2 |

**D. Operations Plan**

Discuss the project's proposed vegetation management and maintenance plan. Describe expected planned

- |      |   |   |
|------|---|---|
| i.   | outages and their durations.  | 1 |
| ii.  | Explain how the system's output will be forecasted and provided to GRU. |   |
| iii. |   |   |
| iv.  |   | 3 |

**E. Attachment C - Project Information Form**

- |    |                                   |   |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| i. | Fill out and provide Attachment 4 | 0 |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|

**F. Exclusions and Exceptions**

Fully explain all exclusions and exceptions the proposed project has to the specifications. Note that exclusions

- |    |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| i. | and exceptions may negatively impact the project's rating to GRU. |  |
|----|---|--|



<u>Scoring Notes</u>	<u>Notes on Proposal</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>= 100 - points in other sections</b>		
If a project requires a GRU capital expenditure, \$0.60/MWh is added to the bid price per \$1,000,000 of capital expenditure required. Lowest viable (i.e. not disqualified) offer receives 60 points. All other proposals receive a pro rata share of 60 points. For example, if the lowest bid is \$26/MWh and a competing offer is \$28.50/MWh, the competing offer receives $\{(\$26/\text{MWh})/(\$28.50/\text{MWh})\} * 60 \text{ points} = 54.7 \text{ points}$ . Points are rounded to the nearest tenth.	See Pricing Sheet	See Pricing Sheet
<b>Section Subtotal</b>		
One point for each project that is greater than or equal to 30 MW AC, max 3 points.		3
If firm has done 0-4 projects, 0 points; 5-8 projects, 1 point; 9 or more, two points.		0
One point for each project in Florida, max 2 points.		1
zero points for one project, one point for two projects, two points for three projects		0
		0
		0

If financing plan and financing history are reasonable, firm receives full points. If the firm's financing plan and history leave doubt as to their ability to finance the project, fewer points may be given.		3
If key personnel all have experience with at least one project that is similar to their proposal, firm receives full points. Less points may be given if otherwise.		1
If bidder has experience with operating four or more projects, two points; if bidder has experience operating 1-3 projects, one point.		1
EMR less than 0.75, two points. 0.75 to 1, one point. Greater than one or none provided, zero points.		0

**Section Subtotal**

5 points tier I, 3 points tier II, 1 point tier III. 3 points for system split to two locations at least five miles apart; 4 points for three locations; five points for four locations. If more than one project site is included, connection tiers will be weighted by MW connected to each tier. (e.g. 20 MW @ Tier I and 25 MW @ tier II yields $(20/45)*5 + (25/45)*3 = 3.9$ points.		3
If all of this information is provided, full points awarded. Fewer points may be awarded if all information is not provided.		2
1 Points for minimum specification, 3 points for 0.05/MW/min, 5 points for 0.04/MW/min or lower		0
description instills confidence		1
If firm has reasonable plan for executing project, full points. If the plan is lacking in detail or completeness, fewer points may be awarded.		2
One point awarded for complete plan. If the plan is lacking in detail, fewer points may be awarded.		0.5
If forecast meets requirements and the method of forecasting is seems robust and reliable (e.g. proven and in use today at other systems), receive full points. If the description does not meet these requirements, fewer points may be awarded.		0
information only		
Evaluation team will discuss and come to consensus on the point value of the exceptions the vendor takes to the specifications		0

**Total Points Awarded    22.50**

## ITN Scoring Allocation

Evaluator Name: Evaluation Team Consensus

Firm Name: Florida Renewable Partners

Facility Name: 50 MW Solar (battery size varies)

Total Available Points: 100

	<u>Available Points</u>
Pricing	<u>60</u>
	Per Attachment 5.
	60
Experience and Ability	<u>16</u>
A. Company Profile and Experience	
	The minimum requirement for this solicitation is three projects of at least 30 MW AC in size. List your three most recent projects of that meet this threshold and give a description of each project (add did it meet i. schedule, performance expectations, etc.)
i.	3
ii.	2
iii.	2
iv.	2
	Describe the firm's experience with limiting ramp rates from solar arrays. If applicable, provide a brief description of the firm's last three projects involving energy storage and the firm's role in the project.
B. Relationship of any Joint Ventures Proposed for this Project	
	Provide a detailed description of any joint ventures or partnerships involved with the proposed project.
i.	0
C. Project Financing Experience	

i.	Provide a background on the firm's creditworthiness and ability to financially execute this project. Explain how the firm's past five projects (if applicable) have been financed and how the proposed project will be financed.	3
<b>D. Key Personnel Qualifications</b>	Provide the qualifications of the key personnel that will be involved with the proposed project, including engineering, construction, operations and maintenance, environmental, and/or ownership qualifications, as applicable.	1
<b>E. Operations and Maintenance Experience</b>	i. Provide details on the firm's experience with operating and maintaining solar facilities.	2
<b>F. Safety Record</b>	Describe the firm's health and safety history for the past five years. Provide the firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR).	1

**A. Project Site**

Provide associated USGS (or equivalent) coordinates delineating the scope of the physical project including the solar field array, project switchyard, right-of-way/corridor to interconnection point, maintenance area, and construction/marshaling area (either temporary or permanent). Note the scoring criteria for Section 16 - Evaluation Process.

- |      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| i.   | Identify which areas the SELLER presently controls (and method of control) along with additional areas that are required for successful completion of the project.   | 10 |
| ii.  | Identify any hazardous areas that are part of or adjacent to the Project lands as well as identification of environmentally sensitive lands (wetlands, etc.) that are adjacent or included in the project. |    |
| iii. | Identify the point of interconnection, the delivery point, and the metering point.   |    |
| iv.  | Delineate the boundary between BUYER and SELLER.   | 2  |

**B. System Components and Operation**

Provide conceptual drawing for the grid interconnection showing key equipment and design details to provide an overview of the grid connection, including the connection point and voltage.

- |     |   |   |
|-----|---|---|
| i.  | Explain in detail how the system will meet the minimum threshold of 0.06 MW/minute of AC capacity ramp rate (up and down). Provide the system's maximum ramp rate (up and down).                                | 0 |
| ii. | If the project contains energy storage, give details on the type of storage that will be included, the quantity, and how the control system will operate in tandem with the PV array, batteries, and inverters. | 5 |

- |     |  |   |
|-----|--|---|
| iv. |  | 1 |
| i.  | Provide a description of the project execution plan detailing the process to be employed for this project showing key milestones (land acquisition, design, permitting, procurement, construction and commissioning) to ensure a successful and timely entry into commercial operation. The description should include both a narrative and Gantt format schedule. |   |
| ii. | Explain the project's plan for connection to GRU's transmission system.  | 2 |

**C. Project Execution Plan**


**D. Operations Plan**

Discuss the project's proposed vegetation management and maintenance plan. Describe expected planned

- |    |                              |   |
|----|------------------------------|---|
| i. | outages and their durations. | 1 |
|----|------------------------------|---|

Explain how the system's output will be forecasted and provided to GRU.

- |     |  |   |
|-----|--|---|
| ii. |  | 3 |
|-----|--|---|

**E. Attachment C - Project Information Form**

- |    |                                   |   |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| i. | Fill out and provide Attachment 4 | 0 |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|

**F. Exclusions and Exceptions**

Fully explain all exclusions and exceptions the proposed project has to the specifications. Note that exclusions

- |    |   |  |
|----|---|--|
| i. | and exceptions may negatively impact the project's rating to GRU. |  |
|----|---|--|



<u>Scoring Notes</u>	<u>Notes on Proposal</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>= 100 - points in other sections</b>		
If a project requires a GRU capital expenditure, \$0.60/MWh is added to the bid price per \$1,000,000 of capital expenditure required. Lowest viable (i.e. not disqualified) offer receives 60 points. All other proposals receive a pro rata share of 60 points. For example, if the lowest bid is \$26/MWh and a competing offer is \$28.50/MWh, the competing offer receives $\{(\$26/\text{MWh})/(\$28.50/\text{MWh})\} * 60 \text{ points} = 54.7 \text{ points}$ . Points are rounded to the nearest tenth.	See Pricing Sheet	See Pricing Sheet
<b>Section Subtotal</b>		
One point for each project that is greater than or equal to 30 MW AC, max 3 points.		3
If firm has done 0-4 projects, 0 points; 5-8 projects, 1 point; 9 or more, two points.		2
One point for each project in Florida, max 2 points.		2
zero points for one project, one point for two projects, two points for three projects		0
		0

If financing plan and financing history are reasonable, firm receives full points. If the firm's financing plan and history leave doubt as to their ability to finance the project, fewer points may be given.		3
If key personnel all have experience with at least one project that is similar to their proposal, firm receives full points. Less points may be given if otherwise.		1
If bidder has experience with operating four or more projects, two points; if bidder has experience operating 1-3 projects, one point.		2
EMR less than 0.75, two points. 0.75 to 1, one point. Greater than one or none provided, zero points.		1

**Section Subtotal**

5 points tier I, 3 points tier II, 1 point tier III. 3 points for system split to two locations at least five miles apart; 4 points for three locations; five points for four locations. If more than one project site is included, connection tiers will be weighted by MW connected to each tier. (e.g. 20 MW @ Tier I and 25 MW @ tier II yields $(20/45)*5 + (25/45)*3 = 3.9$ points.		3
If all of this information is provided, full points awarded. Fewer points may be awarded if all information is not provided.		2
		0
1 Points for minimum specification, 3 points for 0.05/MW/min, 5 points for 0.04/MW/min or lower		5
description instills confidence		1
If firm has reasonable plan for executing project, full points. If the plan is lacking in detail or completeness, fewer points may be awarded.		2
One point awarded for complete plan. If the plan is lacking in detail, fewer points may be awarded.		1
If forecast meets requirements and the method of forecasting is seems robust and reliable (e.g. proven and in use today at other systems), receive full points. If the description does not meet these requirements, fewer points may be awarded.		1
information only		0
Evaluation team will discuss and come to consensus on the point value of the exceptions the vendor takes to the specifications		0

**Total Points Awarded      29.0**

## ITN Scoring Allocation

Evaluator Name: Evaluation Team Consensus

Firm Name: Community Energy Solar

Facility Name: Gainesville Solar (Site 1)

Total Available Points: 100

	<u>Available Points</u>
Pricing	<u>60</u>
	Per Attachment 5.
	60
Experience and Ability	<u>16</u>
A. Company Profile and Experience	
	The minimum requirement for this solicitation is three projects of at least 30 MW AC in size. List your three most recent projects of that meet this threshold and give a description of each project (add did it meet i. schedule, performance expectations, etc.)
i.	3
ii.	2
iii.	2
iv.	2
	Describe the firm's experience with limiting ramp rates from solar arrays. If applicable, provide a brief description of the firm's last three projects involving energy storage and the firm's role in the project.
B. Relationship of any Joint Ventures Proposed for this Project	
	Provide a detailed description of any joint ventures or partnerships involved with the proposed project.
i.	0
C. Project Financing Experience	

i.	Provide a background on the firm's creditworthiness and ability to financially execute this project. Explain how the firm's past five projects (if applicable) have been financed and how the proposed project will be financed.	3
<b>D. Key Personnel Qualifications</b>	Provide the qualifications of the key personnel that will be involved with the proposed project, including engineering, construction, operations and maintenance, environmental, and/or ownership qualifications, as applicable.	1
<b>E. Operations and Maintenance Experience</b>	i. Provide details on the firm's experience with operating and maintaining solar facilities.	2
<b>F. Safety Record</b>	Describe the firm's health and safety history for the past five years. Provide the firm's Experience Modification Rate (EMR).	1

**A. Project Site**

Provide associated USGS (or equivalent) coordinates delineating the scope of the physical project including the solar field array, project switchyard, right-of-way/corridor to interconnection point, maintenance area, and construction/marshaling area (either temporary or permanent). Note the scoring criteria for Section 16 - Evaluation Process.

- |      |  |    |
|------|--|----|
| i.   | Identify which areas the SELLER presently controls (and method of control) along with additional areas that are required for successful completion of the project.   | 10 |
| ii.  | Identify any hazardous areas that are part of or adjacent to the Project lands as well as identification of environmentally sensitive lands (wetlands, etc.) that are adjacent or included in the project. |    |
| iii. |  |    |
| iv.  | Identify the point of interconnection, the delivery point, and the metering point.   |    |
| v.   | Delineate the boundary between BUYER and SELLER.   | 2  |

**B. System Components and Operation**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Provide conceptual drawing for the grid interconnection showing key equipment and design details to provide an overview of the grid connection, including the connection point and voltage.                     | 0 |
| Explain in detail how the system will meet the minimum threshold of 0.06 MW/minute of AC capacity ramp rate (up and down). Provide the system's maximum ramp rate (up and down).                                | 5 |
| If the project contains energy storage, give details on the type of storage that will be included, the quantity, and how the control system will operate in tandem with the PV array, batteries, and inverters. | 1 |

**C. Project Execution Plan**

- |  |   |   |
|--|---|---|
| Provide a description of the project execution plan detailing the process to be employed for this project showing key milestones (land acquisition, design, permitting, procurement, construction and commissioning) to ensure a successful and timely entry into commercial operation. The description should include both a narrative and Gantt format schedule. |   |   |
| i.   | narrative and Gantt format schedule.                                    |   |
| ii.  | Explain the project's plan for connection to GRU's transmission system. | 2 |

**D. Operations Plan**

- |   |   |
|---|---|
| Discuss the project's proposed vegetation management and maintenance plan. Describe expected planned outages and their durations. | 1 |
| Explain how the system's output will be forecasted and provided to GRU.   |   |
| ii.   |   |
|   | 3 |

**E. Attachment C - Project Information Form**

- |    |                                   |   |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|
| i. | Fill out and provide Attachment 4 | 0 |
|----|-----------------------------------|---|

**F. Exclusions and Exceptions**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| Fully explain all exclusions and exceptions the proposed project has to the specifications. Note that exclusions and exceptions may negatively impact the project's rating to GRU. |  |
| i.   |  |



<u>Scoring Notes</u>	<u>Notes on Proposal</u>	<u>Score</u>
<b>= 100 - points in other sections</b>		
If a project requires a GRU capital expenditure, \$0.60/MWh is added to the bid price per \$1,000,000 of capital expenditure required. Lowest viable (i.e. not disqualified) offer receives 60 points. All other proposals receive a pro rata share of 60 points. For example, if the lowest bid is \$26/MWh and a competing offer is \$28.50/MWh, the competing offer receives $\left[\left(\$26/\text{MWh}\right)/\left(\$28.50/\text{MWh}\right)\right]*60$ points = 54.7 points. Points are rounded to the nearest tenth.	See Pricing Sheet	See Pricing Sheet
<b>Section Subtotal</b>		
One point for each project that is greater than or equal to 30 MW AC, max 3 points.		3
If firm has done 0-4 projects, 0 points; 5-8 projects, 1 point; 9 or more, two points.		1
One point for each project in Florida, max 2 points.		0
zero points for one project, one point for two projects, two points for three projects		0
		0
		0

If financing plan and financing history are reasonable, firm receives full points. If the firm's financing plan and history leave doubt as to their ability to finance the project, fewer points may be given.		1
If key personnel all have experience with at least one project that is similar to their proposal, firm receives full points. Less points may be given if otherwise.		1
If bidder has experience with operating four or more projects, two points; if bidder has experience operating 1-3 projects, one point.		0
EMR less than 0.75, two points. 0.75 to 1, one point. Greater than one or none provided, zero points.		1

**Section Subtotal**

5 points tier I, 3 points tier II, 1 point tier III. 3 points for system split to two locations at least five miles apart; 4 points for three locations; five points for four locations. If more than one project site is included, connection tiers will be weighted by MW connected to each tier. (e.g. 20 MW @ Tier I and 25 MW @ tier II yields $(20/45)*5 + (25/45)*3 = 3.9$ points.		3
If all of this information is provided, full points awarded. Fewer points may be awarded if all information is not provided.		2
1 Points for minimum specification, 3 points for 0.05/MW/min, 5 points for 0.04/MW/min or lower		0
description instills confidence		1
If firm has reasonable plan for executing project, full points. If the plan is lacking in detail or completeness, fewer points may be awarded.		0.5
One point awarded for complete plan. If the plan is lacking in detail, fewer points may be awarded.		1
If forecast meets requirements and the method of forecasting is seems robust and reliable (e.g. proven and in use today at other systems), receive full points. If the description does not meet these requirements, fewer points may be awarded.		2
information only		0
Evaluation team will discuss and come to consensus on the point value of the exceptions the vendor takes to the specifications		0

**Total Points Awarded    17.50**